

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

R)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,699	10/02/2003	David Bar-Or	4172-85	2007
22442	7590 07/17/2006		EXAM	INER
SHERIDAN ROSS PC			EMCH, GREGORY S	
1560 BROAD	OWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200 DENVER, C	O 80202		1649	
,			DATE MAILED: 07/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. BAR-OR ET AL. 10/679 699 Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner 1649 Gregory S. Emch The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (S U.S.C. § 133). Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (S U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 20</u>03. 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ont is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 33 0.3.6. § 116(a) (c) of (c)			
a)□ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
~ \Box	Cortified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			

Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-32 are drawn to a method of for diagnosing or monitoring a disease or condition, classified in class 436, subclass 86, for example.
- II. Claims 33-46 are drawn to a binding partner having specificity for a target marker and associated compositions and kits, classified in class 530, subclass 300, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product(s) and process(es) of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compositions of Invention II can be used for *in vivo* imaging for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1649

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicants elect claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

Art Unit: 1649

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election of species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventions I and II: markers of formula X-Y-DKP.

Claims 1-46 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of N-terminal and/or C terminal amino acids as part of the formula X-Y-DKP.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of X-Y-DKP for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If Applicants elect either Invention, one species from the X-Y-DKP group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventions I and II: disease-associated proteins.

Claims 1-46 are generic to a plurality of disclosed disease-associated proteins.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of disease-associated protein for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If Applicants elect either

Art Unit: 1649

Invention, one species from the disease-associated protein group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Inventions I and II: diseases.

Claims 1-46 are generic to a plurality of disclosed disease states.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of disease for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If Applicants elect either Invention, one species from the disease group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) elections of species and invention to be examined even though the requirement(s) be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species and invention.

The election of an invention and/or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1649

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Gregory S. Emch, Art Unit 1649.

Art Unit: 1649

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory S. Emch whose telephone number is (571) 272-8149. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet L. Andres can be reached at (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory S. Emch, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1649 07 July 2006

OLGA N. CHERNYSHEY,PH.D